

Abstract

Topic: "Impact of the APRM on the policy of reform"

Huguette AKPLOGAN

Co-ordinating Social Watch Benin,

Tel. 21 04 20 12/95 95 90 28

Summary

The African Peer Review Mechanism (APRM), launched in 2002, represents a credible effort as regards to governance in Africa. It provided up to now, seven profiles and identified the main challenges in Africa.

Following the example of a certain number of countries, Benin adhered to the process of autoevaluation then of evaluation within the APRM. The Benin APRM report was presented to the Heads of States of the African Union at the end of January 2008. The evaluation involved key players from all social layers throughout the territory through National Commission Independent of the PARM - CNIM. This process with the advantage of the respect of the democratic liberties in force in our country, the x-ray groups, the public sector, the civil society, the private sector, the town councilors and the religious confessions actively contributed to give credible information, without state of heart, with the people resources and the experts in charge of this evaluation. The Final report thus prepared was presented to the very recent top of the UA with Addis - Abeba and it was officially launched to Benign, on February 12th, 2008.

Let us note that the pars promised to support the action plan resulting from this evaluation, as certain partners with the development who agreed to place at the disposal of Benign such important resources for the implementation of this action plan.

The civil society east is delighted by the objective appreciation made by the imminent personalities delegated by the Pars to Benign to carry out this evaluation of the governorship of certain economic sectors socio key of our country. She also appreciated with her right value the analysis right and honest of the Head of the State on the situation of the governorship to the Benign one. In the new context of concerted governorship preached by the political authorities of our country, the Benign one, it did not have the shade of any doubt there that the government will not spare any effort to answer the complaints of Social Watch in order to guarantee the credibility of the State through the statement of accounts by the authorities.

The conference on "the governorship in Africa: institutional rules, realities and strategies for the change", which will bring together the intellectuals, the leaders institutional and enquiring, for a debate centered on the nature of political competition, the institutional framework, corruption, the traditional and modern policy comes at named the right moment.

Because, this meeting will give the opportunity to us to evaluate the demonstrations and dimensions of the governorship in Africa on the recommendations of the reports of the MAEP and other studies; to include/understand the fundamental dynamics of the capacity and the abstract practices which affect the quality of the governorship; to identify the strategies for the change, based on models of reforms and public awareness campaigns near the civil society.

In a practical way, the topic which is subjected to us to be developed relates to: “The impact of the African Mechanism of Evaluation by Pars (MAEP) on the policy of reform” will give opportunity of sharing the experiment of Benin after the adoption of the report/ratio by the pars. There will be a question of approaching the changes brought by this process, its role of influence on the control of the reforms as regards governorship in progress in the country, with the achievements I was of it, with the last projections of the MAEP. We will put forward some innovating practices and will make finally observations and recommendations in the control and the follow-up of the implementation of the action plan of the MAEP to the Benin one. Ultimately, to say what it is necessary to retain of the example of the Benin one? Which are the points important to reproduce or avoid in other countries?

However, the OSC African and particularly those of West Africa are mobilized and think of the best strategy to set up for the follow-up of the implementation of the process and the action plan after the validation of the report/ratio by the pars.